Previous references.

S.R. 48-0 6811-28.1.07 1913

(S.R.)

Later references.

File No. 116-13

Serial Nos. 1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. for March 1914.

SUBJECT.

Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue of Ragimakalahalli village, Goribidnur Tk.

From the Excise Comr.
no 5832 dt 18.1.14.

Submits the records of the case & states that the godidars are not entitled to the Revenue other than the rent.

To the Ex. Comr & Compl.r
no 7143 29-30 dt 23.3.14.

G. R. S. 58

Rejects the claim of the Inamdar.

B.T. 9/15
10.7.14

G.R.S. 11] 1913-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S. R.)

NOTES.

File No. 116-13

FILE HEADING.

Amildars' claim to the toddy excise revenue of Rajinakalahalli village, Gowribidnur Tq, Kolar Dt

The Excise Commr in Mysore

No. 5832 Dated 18/1/14 Received 21/1/14

Diary No. 2754	Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the record of enquiry relating to the claim in question and states that the Amildars are not entitled to the revenue under the Main tree rent.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. Though notices were given to the Amildars, yet they have not appeared to give evidence ~~as the case required~~ to show that they are entitled to the toddy excise revenue of the village as Hereditary Amildars

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

(2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

GR File No. 116 of 1913.

Serial No.

has reserved "Abkari". The Excise Commr agrees with the Dy Commr and holds that the Inamdars are not entitled to the toddy excise revenue of the village. The ex parte decision has to be confirmed as per fd of the 24th January 1907. And when no trees exist, the question of free rent does not arise and need not be mentioned.

2 A draft rejecting the claim is subd for orders.

JM 3/3 SN 3 A clean draft as revised may be put up.
25/2/14
26

Hm 28/2

JM 4 Fdg draft subd: may be approved

JM 3/3
3/3/14 SN Dy Sec 24

Second member

Hm 3/3

Draft as clean 5/3 Hm 5/3

5 Sd. appd by 2d Mr

Hm 5/6.

2/6/3 Hm 6/3

6 Hm 6/3

2 To Mr Secy Govt

" Comptroller

No. R 4329-30 D 23.3.14

Reject the claim of the Inamdars.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5832
Exc: I and S (Dept)

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 18th January *1914.*

20

FROM

K. Kantaraj Urs, Esq., B.A.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

DIARY No. 2757 K.R. Srinivasaiengar, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

1913-1914
SECTION S.R
FILE No. 116-Sir 3
SERIAL No. 1

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry in re the Inamdars claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Ragimakalahalli village in the Goribidnur Taluk together with the usual calender and the copy of the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's docket No.471 dated the 12th December 1913/8th January 1914, I have the honor to state that I concur with the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner, - that the Jodidars are not entitled to the revenue in question other than the Tree-rent.

2. The exparte order rejecting the claim in question has been duly communicated to the Jodidars concerned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

19 .

FROM

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated .

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

GPB 1350—25 11-12

Docket No.431 dated 12th December 1913/8th January 1914, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Has the honor to submit herewith for transmission to Gover ment with his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the Inamda claim to the Toddy Excise Revenue of Jodi Rajamkalahalli village in the Goribidnur Taluk together with the usual calendar relating to t case with the opinion of the undersigned thereon. The Jodidars are not entitled to toddy revenue but entitled to tree-rent in accordance with para 4 of Section II of the Government Proceedings No. 2074-83/R.F.166-92 dated 2nd August 1901.

2. The ex-parte order rejecting the Jodidar's claim to the revenue in question has been duly communicated to the parties concerned on 31st December 1912 and the records connected therewith ar also submitted herewith for reference.

"C o p y"

for Excise Commissioner.

Calender of a claim to Poddy Revenue enquired into

5

Name of Valuy	Name of Hobli; Samath or Nalgani	Name of Sarva Inam Jodi or Kayemutta village	Name of Inamdar or Jodidars or Kayemutta dar	Documents or rabis upon	Witness Examined	
Yoor Tickuer	Inamdevarahally	Jodi Rayinakalahally	Chikkoti Ramachar Nijagal Balachar 04 others	Samad has not been produced	Nil -	The right of the Inams Inam Settlement Register - i this office nor have they p their right to poddy revenu in the village - The Inam The Inamdars are not true tax. Since no trees ha licensees of shops, the 2 rent -

En W&M
87 116-13

7u

21 - 6 - 11

by the Sub-Division officer Chikballapur

ding	Amount awarded if any.	Grounds of decision.
no to Abkari is not recognised in the Inamdars have not appeared before duced any document to establish There is neither shop, grove nor tree dars are entitled any to the rent entitled to any shop or grove rent nor been tapped in this village from mdars are entitled to no past tree	? - Nil -	Inam Register sheet -

From the Sub Collector
11/12.

Sub Division officer
Chikballapur

1/2

Rejects the claim.

4

G.R.S. 28]

9/3

File No. 116-13

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 2754

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SR)

READ—

, the 191 .

Paper under disposal

Letter No: 5832 of the 18th January 1914 from the Excise Commr at Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the inamdars

Date of issue 23-3-14

Signed by M Ramanathan

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

claim to the excise revenue from toddy of Ragimakalahalli village, Gosi-kunte Hr, Kolar Dt.

order thereon

No 4329-30 of 23rd March/14

over

SUBJECT.

W c/z

Notices
regarding the issuance of this
Allah to substantiate their claim
were duly served on them, but they
have failed to produce any evidence
in proof of their claim. The
Ham Settlement Register reserves
to Govt the revenue from Abkari.
Govt therefore accept the opinions
of the Excise Commr & the Deputy
Commr and reject the claim
of the Kiandars.

WM
5/3

Rm.
for Secy to Govt Dmn 5/3

Printing as usual

Rm

To
The Excise Commr in Mysore,
with records.
The Comptroller to Govt

5/3 SL
3/3/14